IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3070 OF 2006

Krantikari Suraksha Rakshak Sangathana] .. Petitioner

Versus

The Security Guard Board for Gr.Bombay]
& Thane District.] .. Respondents

Ms. Jane Cox for the petitioner.

Ms. Lata S. Desai i/b Ms. Pallavi Divekar for the respondent No.1.

CORAM: R.M. LODHA, &
SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.

DATED: 23RD JANUARY, 2007

P.C. :

. We heard the counsel for the petitioner and the counsel for the Board.

2. The respondent-Board has given the statement in paragraph 4 of the reply Affidavit indicating the proposed revision of wages for the period 1/1/2006 to 31/12/2007. As per the provisions of Clause 30 of the Maharashtra Private Security Guards (Regulation of Employment and Welfare) Scheme, 2002 [for short "Scheme of 2002’] it appears from the reply that notices were given to the 19 representatives of the principal

employers Public notices were also issued. After taking into consideration the response from Unions and the principal employers and the minimum wages prescribed under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948, for commercial establishment and the cost of living, the respondents revised the wages in respect of the security personnel. The Board has also made the statement that the benefits given to the registered security guards as of today is the maximum payable under the Scheme. We have no justifiable reason to disbelieve the statement made by the respondent-Board in its meeting dated 30th January, 2006. As and when next revision takes place which is not even one year away, the petitioners or any Union may make appropriate representation for proper revision of wages of security personnel and for taking into consideration the cost of living and other relevant factors.

3. No case for interference is made out. Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
[R.M. LODHA, J.]

Sd/-
[SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.]